

**Homework Assignment for Lecture 1
Design, Subjects and Randomization
Winter 2015 Clinical Trials Course (Epi 205)
Faculty: Dennis Black**

Total score = 12 points

1. Please read the following abstract and answer the questions below:

Family Practice 2010; 27:135–142
doi:10.1093/fampra/cmp094
Advance Access published on 23 December 2009

© The Author 2009. Published by Oxford University Press. All rights reserved.
For permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@oxfordjournals.org

**A primary care pragmatic cluster randomized trial
of the use of home blood pressure monitoring on
blood pressure levels in hypertensive patients with
above target blood pressure**

**Marshall Godwin^{a,*}, Miu Lam^b, Richard Birtwhistle^c, Dianne Delva^d,
Rachelle Seguin^e, Ian Casson^c and Susan MacDonald^c**

^aFamily Medicine, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, ^bDepartment of Community Health and Epidemiology, Kingston, ^cFamily Medicine, Queen's University, Kingston, ^dFamily Medicine, Dalhousie University, Kingston, and ^eDepartment of Family Medicine, Queen's University, Kingston, Canada.

*Correspondence to: Marshall Godwin, Primary Healthcare Research Unit, Room 1776, 300 Prince Philip Drive, St. John's, NL Canada A1B 3V6; E-mail: godwinm@mun.ca

Received 9 March 2009; Revised 22 October 2009; Accepted 30 November 2009.

Background. The measurement of blood pressure (BP) at home by patients with hypertension is increasingly used to assess and monitor BP. Evidence for its effectiveness in improving BP control is mixed.

Methods. To determine if home BP monitoring improves BP a pragmatic cluster randomized controlled trial was carried out in family practices in southeastern Ontario, Canada. Family practice patients with uncontrolled hypertension were recruited to the trial. Patients were divided into two groups: one with at least weekly measurements of BP at home, recording those measurements and showing those to the family physician during office visits for hypertension and the control group were given usual care. The primary outcome was mean awake BP on ambulatory monitoring at 6- and 12-month follow-up and the secondary outcomes were mean BP on full 24-hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring (ABPM), mean sleep BP on ABPM and BP on the BpTRU device, all at 6- and 12-month follow-up.

Results. Home BP monitoring did not improve BP compared to usual care at 12-month follow-up: mean awake systolic BP on ABPM [141.1 versus 142.8 mmHg, mean difference 1.7 mmHg; 95% confidence interval (CI) -0.6 to 4.0, $P = 0.314$] and mean awake diastolic BP on ABPM (78.7 versus 79.4 mmHg, mean difference 0.7 mmHg; 95% CI -7.7 to 9.1, $P = 0.398$). Similar negative results were obtained for men and women separately. However, outcomes using the full 24-hour ABPM and the BpTRU device showed a significantly lower diastolic BP at 12 months. When analysis was done by sex, this effect was shown to be only in men.

Conclusion. Home BP monitoring may improve BP control in men with hypertension.

Keywords. Home blood pressure monitoring, hypertension, pragmatic randomized trials, primary care.

From the methods section of this abstract:

Randomization

Cluster randomization was conducted. After all the physician's eligible consenting patients had baseline data collected, the physician and all his participating patients were randomized as a cluster to either the intervention arm or the control arm of the study. The research assistant (RA) collecting the baseline data contacted the project coordinator to determine

- a. What is the type of randomized trial and what is the unit of randomization? (1 pt)
- b. Describe the intervention vs. the control (1 pt)
- c. How could this study have been designed to use a standard parallel group design (i.e., randomization of individual patients)? (1 pt)
- d. For this study, what are some advantages of this design compared to randomizing individual patients? Name at least 2. (2 pts)
- e. What are some disadvantages of clustered randomization in this trial? Name at least 1. (1 pt)

2. Please read the following abstract and answer the questions below:

BACKGROUND: Nut consumption lowers cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk. Studies are lacking about the effects of pistachios, a nutrient-dense nut, on CVD risk factors, dose-response relations, and lipid-lowering mechanisms.

OBJECTIVE: We evaluated the effects of 2 doses of pistachios, added to a lower-fat diet, on lipids and lipoproteins, apolipoprotein (apo)-defined lipoprotein subclasses, and plasma fatty acids. To investigate the mechanisms of action, we measured cholesteryl ester transfer protein and indexes of plasma stearoyl-CoA desaturase activity (SCD).

DESIGN: In a randomized crossover controlled-feeding study, 28 individuals with LDL cholesterol $\geq$ 2.86 mmol/L consumed 3 isoenergetic diets for 4 wk each. Baseline measures were assessed after 2 wk of a typical Western diet. The experimental diets are as follows:

- a. A lower-fat control diet with no pistachios
- b. 1 serving/d of a pistachio diet (1 PD; 10% of energy from pistachios)
- c. 2 servings/d of a pistachio diet (2 PD; 20% of energy from pistachios).

RESULTS: The 2 PD decreased ($P < 0.05$ compared with the control diet) total cholesterol (-8%), LDL cholesterol (-11.6%), non-HDL cholesterol (-11%), apo B (-4%), apo B/apo A-I (-4%), and plasma SCD activity (-1%). The 1 PD and 2 PD, respectively, elicited a dose-dependent lowering ($P < 0.05$) of total cholesterol/HDL cholesterol (-1% and -8%), LDL cholesterol/HDL cholesterol (-3% and -11%), and non-HDL cholesterol/HDL cholesterol (-2% and -10%).

CONCLUSIONS: Inclusion of pistachios in a healthy diet beneficially affects CVD risk factors in a dose-dependent manner, which may reflect effects on SCD. (Gebauer, et al. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;88:651-9).

- a. What is the study design? (1 pt)
- b. How could the investigators have designed this trial as a randomized, controlled, blinded, parallel groups clinical trial? (2 pts)
- c. What are the advantages of this study design for this study vs a parallel groups design (2 or more) (2 pts)?
- d. What are the disadvantages of the study design vs a parallel groups design (at least one) (1 pt)?