
Data and Safety Monitoring



Alison Huang, MD, MAS
Associate Professor of Medicine



Clinical and Translational Science Institute / CTSI
Accelerating Research to Improve Health

UCSF

Objectives

- Identify potential data and safety issues related to your proposed study
- List at least 3 important duties of a Data and Safety Monitor (DSM)
- Describe the function and operation of a Data and Safety Monitoring Board (DSMB)



Why do you need a DSM plan?

- To establish procedures for monitoring and protecting the safety of participants
- To outline a system for ensuring the integrity and validity of the research data
- To satisfy the requirements of sponsors and institutional review boards



What studies require a DSM plan?

Multicenter randomized trial of a new surgical procedure?

Single-center trial of a low-risk diet and exercise program?

Phase 1 pilot of an established drug for a new indication?

Observational cohort study of older women with diabetes?



Approaches to data & safety monitoring

	Formal DSM plan	Multi-person DSMB	Interim monitoring	Stopping for harm	Stopping for efficacy
Multicenter trial of new surgical procedure	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Single-center trial of diet and exercise program	✓	?	✓	✓	?
Pilot trial of established drug for new indication	✓				
Observational study of women with diabetes					



Common components of a DSM plan

- 1) Summary of the potential risks of study procedures
- 2) Outline of procedures to protect data integrity and security
- 3) Plan for monitoring adverse events or alarm findings
- 4) Designation of person(s) responsible for overseeing safety
- 5) Plan for interim monitoring and/or stopping guidelines



1) Potential risks of study procedures

- What are the possible side effects of study treatments?
- What risks or discomforts may result from study tests?
- Any discomfort from questionnaires or interviews?
- Any special risks associated with loss of privacy?



Possible steps to minimize risks

- Exclusion of populations at greatest risk
- Special training or qualifications of staff
- Surveillance systems to detect early harm
- Special procedures to protect privacy



Examples of potential risks

(for trial of high-dose vaginal estrogen ring in menopausal women)

Procedures	Risks/discomforts
Treatment with high-dose vaginal estrogen ring	→ Vaginal discharge or irritation, possible ↑ risk of endometrial cancer
Endometrial biopsy to assess for endometrial hyperplasia	→ Bleeding, pelvic infection, puncture of uterine wall
Questionnaires about sexual activity and function	→ Discomfort or embarrassment from answering sensitive questions
Questionnaires about history of sexually transmitted disease	→ Risks to reputation in setting of loss of confidentiality



Examples of steps to minimize risks

(for trial of high-dose vaginal estrogen ring in menopausal women)

Procedures	Risks/discomforts
Possible ↑ risk of endometrial cancer	→ Exclusion of women with history of endometrial cancer
Risk of puncture during endometrial biopsy	→ Certification of healthcare personnel performing biopsy
Discomfort or embarrassment from questionnaires	→ Use of sealed envelopes for return of sensitive questionnaires
Risks to reputation in setting of loss of confidentiality	→ Use of study ID numbers on dataforms, database security protections



2) Protection of data integrity and security

- What systems will be used to enter and manage participant data?
- How will you maintain participant confidentiality during data collection?
- How will you protect the security of the study database?



Protecting data security and confidentiality

(for clinical trial of high-dose vaginal estrogen ring)

- Storage of data on password-protected servers
- Use of data encryption software on computer tablets
- Use of study ID numbers or acrostics on data forms
- Plan for protecting, then destroying identifiers



Protecting data accuracy and integrity

- Double data-entry procedures
- Verification of data by outside party
- Software with automatic checks for outliers
- Posting of queries for missing data values



3) Plan for monitoring adverse events

- **HOW** will you define adverse events (AEs)?
- **WHEN** or how often will AEs be assessed?
- **WHAT** procedures will be used to detect AEs?
- **WHO** will be notified about AEs as they occur?



Common AE definitions

- **Adverse event (AE)** = any negative change in health occurring while participants are on treatment, regardless of apparent relationship to treatment
- **Serious adverse event (SAE)** = death, disability, or unexpected hospitalization or prolongation of hospitalization while on treatment



Common AE assessment procedures

- Systematic assessment for AEs at follow-up visits
- Standardized questions and forms to record AEs
- Assessment of timing/duration and severity of AEs
- Possible attribution of AEs to study treatments
- Reporting of AEs/SAEs to IRBs, DSMs, sponsors



Follow-up of alarm findings

(Ex: cohort study of older women with diabetes involving serial ECG measurements)

- How will you notify participants' usual healthcare providers about concerning ECG findings?
- How will you preserve the distinction between research findings and clinical care?



4) Designation of a safety monitor

- Is independent data and safety monitoring needed for this study?
- If independent monitoring is needed, is one DSM or a multi-person DSMB indicated?
- What qualifications or experience should the DSM or DSMB members have?



Functions of a DSM or DSMB

- 1) Evaluate adequacy and timeliness of recruitment and adherence to protocol
- 2) Monitor participant safety including trends in AEs and SAEs
- 3) Consider external factors when they may have an impact on safety



Functions of a DSM or DSMB (cont.)

- 4) Evaluate the quality and integrity of data management and security
- 5) Make recommendations on continuation, termination, or other modifications



Who should serve as a DSM?

(Ex: clinical trial of high-dose vaginal estrogen ring)

- Clinician experienced in menopausal or genitourinary health?
- PhD-trained statistician or other statistical expert?
- Experts in clinical trial design or research bioethics?
- Representatives of sponsors, other stakeholders, laypersons?



5) Interim monitoring and stopping rules

- When should the DSM or DSMB review the data?
- What issues should be reviewed at each time point?
- What procedures will be followed during review?
- How should decisions about stopping early be made?



When and what issues to monitor?

Early issues:

- Problems with trial design
- Success of recruitment
- Success of adherence
- Loss to follow-up or drop-out
- Data quality and timeliness
- Information from other studies

Later issues:

- Early evidence of clear benefit
- Early evidence of clear harm
- Lack of conditional power to meet goals



Developing a monitoring schedule

- **Prior to start of recruitment:** review the study protocol and DSM plan
- **Soon after start of recruitment:** review rates of recruitment, adherence, follow-up
- **Periodically throughout trial:** re-review recruitment, adherence, follow-up, and assess efficacy and harm



Procedures for DSM or DSMB review

- Circulation of data tables prior to DSM review
- “Closed” vs “open” meetings to preserve blinding
- “Voting” vs “non-voting” DSMB members
- Summary and recommendations made by DSM Chair



Why stop a study early?

- Harm clearly demonstrated
- Benefit clearly demonstrated
- Not possible to demonstrate benefit
- Question answered by another study



Stopping for harm versus benefit

(Ex: clinical trial of high-dose vaginal estrogen ring)

- Scenario 1: Early evidence of increased risk of endometrial hyperplasia
- Scenario 2: Early evidence of clear benefit for reduction in genitourinary symptoms



Statistical issues in interim monitoring

- Statistical corrections to minimize chance findings from repeated interim monitoring
- Studies with multiple outcomes may require global indices to capture overall benefit vs harm
- Calculation of conditional power to provide compelling evidence of benefit or harm



Conclusions

- Data and safety monitoring is an important part of clinical research design
- Monitoring strategies depend on the type of study and associated risks
- Interim monitoring should address data quality, evidence of harm, and evidence of benefit

