

Confidence Intervals & Hypothesis Testing

- Hypothesis testing of two means
- Hypothesis testing of proportions



Hypothesis Testing, two-sided hypothesis

Example: Do children with congenital heart disease walk at the same or a different age as compared to healthy children? Healthy children start walking at mean age of 11.4 months

- Null hypothesis: $H_0: \mu = 11.4 \text{ months } (\mu_0)$
- Alternative hypothesis: $H_A: \mu \neq 11.4 \text{ months}$
- Significance level=0.05
- Data: Sample of children with congenital heart defects, $n=9$, sample mean=12.8, sample SD=2.4

Hypothesis Testing, two-sided hypothesis

- Calculate the test statistic and its associated p value

$$t_{stat} = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu_0}{s / \sqrt{n}}$$
$$= \frac{12.8 - 11.4}{2.4 / \sqrt{9}} = 1.75$$

- p- value is $P(T > 1.75) + P(T < -1.75) = 2 * P(T > 1.75)$

```
display ttail(8,1.75)*2  
.11823278
```

Hypothesis Testing, two-sided hypothesis

Or run ttesti in Stata

```
** ttesti sample size sample mean sample sd hypothesized mean
```

```
ttesti 9 12.8 2.4 11.4
```

One-sample t test

		Obs	Mean	Std. Err.	Std. Dev.	[95% Conf. Interval]

x		9	12.8	.8	2.4	10.9552 14.6448

mean = mean(x) t = 1.7500
Ho: mean = 11.4 degrees of freedom = 8

Ha: mean < 11.4
Pr(T < t) = 0.9409

Ha: mean != 11.4
Pr(|T| > |t|) = 0.1182

Ha: mean > 11.4
Pr(T > t) = 0.0591

→ Fail to reject the null hypothesis

Hypothesis Testing, one or two-sided hypotheses

- For data already in Stata, the ttest command also works
- e.g. We want to test that the mean CD4 cell count < 350 among persons newly diagnosed with HIV in Uganda
 - Null hypothesis: $H_0: \mu \geq 350 \text{ cells/mm}^3$
 - Alternative hypothesis (the one we are worried about – that patients come in with low CD4 cell counts):
$$H_A: \mu < 350 \text{ cells/mm}^3$$
 - Significance level = 0.05

Hypothesis Testing, one or two sided hypothesis

Use `ttest varname== hypothesized value`

- `ttest cd4count==350`

One-sample t test

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Err.	Std. Dev.	[95% Conf. Interval]	
cd4count	999	329.2332	8.419592	266.1177	312.7111	345.7554

```
mean = mean(cd4count)          t = -2.4665
Ho: mean = 350                degrees of freedom = 998
```

Ha: mean < 350
Pr(T < t) = 0.0069

Ha: mean $\neq$ 350
Pr(|T| > |t|) = 0.0138

Ha: mean > 350
Pr(T > t) = 0.9931

→ We reject the null hypothesis

Data set: vct_baseline_biostat200_v1.dta 6

Hypothesis Testing, one or two sided hypothesis

- What if you ran a test and got $z_{\text{stat}} = 1.83$? If your hypothesis was one-sided, you'd reject. If your hypothesis was two-sided, you'd fail to reject.
- Therefore it is very important to specify your test a priori!

Hypothesis testing

- For clinical trials, most of the time we use a two-sided hypotheses
 - That way no one will suspect you of changing your hypothesis test after the fact
 - On the other hand, does it really make sense to have an alternative hypothesis that a new treatment is either better *or worse* than the old one?
 - Assuming your new therapy is better or ‘not inferior’ we may use a one-sided test

Hypothesis test of a proportion

- Variables that are measured as successes or failures, disease or no disease, etc., can be considered binomial random variables
 - x =number of successes
 - n =number of “trials”
 - x/n = proportion diseased
 - Use the z-statistic

$$Z_{stat} = \frac{\hat{p} - p_0}{\sqrt{p_0(1 - p_0)/n}}$$

Hypothesis test of a proportion

- Example: Micronutrient intake of black women in South Africa
- Study: Pre-menopausal women randomly selected based on geographic location
- Micronutrient intake was determined using a Quantitative Food Frequency Questionnaire developed for South Africans
- Question: Do more than 10% of the women have the micronutrient intake of less than the RDA?

Hypothesis test of a proportion

- Null hypothesis:
 - 10% or fewer of the women aged 25-34 have insufficient dietary folate levels (less than 268 μg , a cutoff based on RDA)
 - $H_0: p_0 \leq 0.10$
- Alternative hypothesis:
 - More than 10% of the women aged 25-34 have insufficient dietary folate levels
 - $H_A: p_0 > 0.10$
- Significance level set at = 0.05

Hypothesis test of a proportion

- The data:
 - 158/279=56.6% had folate levels<268 µg
- Using the normal approximation to the binomial distribution:

$$z_{\text{stat}} = (.566 - 0.10) / \sqrt{(0.10 * 0.90 / 279)} = 25.9$$

$$z_{\text{stat}} = \frac{\hat{p} - p_0}{\sqrt{p_0(1 - p_0) / n}}$$

The chance of observing a z statistic of this large (25.9) is very small (<0.05)

→ So we reject the null hypothesis

Hypothesis testing of a proportion

The stata command `prtest` or `prtesti` gives you a test of a proportion using the normal approximation

```
** prtesti samplesize observedp hypothp
```

```
. prtesti 279 .566 .1
```

One-sample test of proportion x: Number of obs = 279

Variable	Mean	Std. Err.	[95% Conf. Interval]	
x	.566	.0296723	.5078434	.6241566

```
p = proportion(x)
```

```
Ho: p = 0.1
```

```
Ha: p < 0.1
```

```
Pr(Z < z) = 1.0000
```

```
Ha: p != 0.1
```

```
Pr(|Z| > |z|) = 0.0000
```

```
z = 25.9458
```

```
Ha: p > 0.1
```

```
Pr(Z > z) = 0.0000
```

Hypothesis testing of a proportion

- Null hypothesis:
 - Fewer than 10% of the women aged 25-34 have dietary zinc levels of less than 5 mg
 - $H_0: p < 0.10$
- Alternative hypothesis:
 - 10% or more of the women aged 25-34 have dietary zinc levels of less than 5 mg
 - $H_A: p \geq 0.10$
- Significance level = 0.05

Hypothesis testing of a proportion

- The data: 31/279=11.1% had zinc levels of less than 5 mg

```
prtesti 279 .111 .1
```

One-sample test of proportion

x: Number of obs = 279

Variable	Mean	Std. Err.	[95% Conf. Interval]	
x	.111	.0188066	.0741397	.1478603

p = proportion(x) z = 0.6125
Ho: p = 0.1

Ha: p < 0.1
Pr(Z < z) = 0.7299

Ha: p != 0.1
Pr(|Z| > |z|) = 0.5402

Ha: p > 0.1
Pr(Z > z) = 0.2701

- Using the normal approximation, we find that the data are NOT inconsistent with the null hypothesis, therefore we fail to reject the null

Using the binomial distribution

```
. bitesti 279 .111 .1
```

N	Observed k	Expected k	Assumed p	Observed p
279	31	27.9	0.10000	0.11111

```
Pr(k >= 31) = 0.295225 (one-sided test)
Pr(k <= 31) = 0.767742 (one-sided test)
Pr(k <= 24 or k >= 31) = 0.548577 (two-sided test)
```

Or we could have used:

```
. di binomialtail(279,31,.1)
.29522463
```

This is an exact test, rather than using the normal approximation. if n or p are small, you may need to use an exact test – we will examine other tests (Chi-squared) in future lectures

- The commands in Stata to do this are `display binomialtail(n,k,p)` or `bitesti samplesize observedp hypothp`