

In Class Exercise Applying Constructs Across Theories of Behavior/Behavior Change PER Worksheet and Theoretical Domains Framework

Adithya Cattamanchi/Margaret Handley

We will be working in two groups. Each group will apply the PER worksheet or the TDF interview guide to provide a concrete strategy for the problem proposed. One group will focus on TB in an outpatient clinic setting and the other on implementing sepsis prevention guidelines in the ER at a San Francisco-based teaching hospital, possibly the VA. You will have 40 minutes to work on the case study as a group separately and then we will come back together and have 20 minutes to discuss at the end.

CASE STUDY 1. You are working in a clinic in South Africa, which has one of the highest rates of tuberculosis (TB) in the world. You are concerned that your clinic is doing a poor job of identifying and evaluating tuberculosis (TB) suspects. International guidelines recommend that: 1) all persons with cough for two or more weeks be considered TB suspects; 2) TB suspects should have at least two sputum smears examined for TB; and 3) any person with a positive sputum smear exam should be started on 4-drug therapy for TB. Through a few discussions with your staff they identify several factors that may affect TB suspect evaluation:

- a) Staff report that they have not received any updated CME on which patients should be evaluated for TB. They only send patients to the lab when they feel strongly that someone has TB.
- b) Staff complain that they do not know how well or poorly they are doing with respect to following guidelines for evaluating TB suspects. Staff meetings to discuss problems in the clinic have not been happening.
- c) Staff think that patients have a hard time coming back to provide multiple sputum specimens for TB evaluation. Many patients also fail to come back to collect their results, and the clinic does not have resources to trace patients in the community.

Case Study 2: You are being asked to help the hospital you work in to create a pilot program for a new campaign, called, “Surviving sepsis”, which is for getting new guidelines into the ER. The guideline components are as follows:

- 1. Recognize patients with potential sepsis (SIRS criteria + suspicion of infection)
- 2. Administer antibiotics within one hour
- 3. Administer 2L of fluids
- 4. Check lactate

PREPARE FOR DISCUSSION

You just found out you can get some pilot funds to do a short descriptive study of providers in one of these settings, under another project's CHR, and now need a plan on what you would present for this pilot project that would direct your larger intervention development. You are thinking about a survey of providers to help figure out how to improve adherence to one of these guidelines. One of your IDS colleagues suggests you provide a theoretical basis for your study and describe general areas for items you would include in a survey for providers.

TB Case Study Group

- *Develop a TDF-based questionnaire (using Table 1 from Michie 2005 paper as a guide) to guide development of an intervention to improve TB evaluation*
-
- *Propose an explanatory model for TB guideline adherence. Which domains do you think may be most relevant and how do these relate to elements of the following theories: Health Belief Model, Theory of Planned Behavior or Social Cognitive Theory?*

Sepsis Guideline Adoption Study Group

- *How would you draw from the theories you have explored in class to date to better understand the problems in the ER that may interfere with adoption of these new guidelines? : Health Belief Model, Theory of Planned Behavior or Social Cognitive Theory?*
- *Using the PER Worksheet, and Table 1 in particular, how would you develop an in-depth interview guide of ER staff, using the predisposing, enabling and reinforcing constructs?*

Table 1. Theoretical domains, component constructs, and eliciting questions for investigating the implementation of evidence-based practice. Adapted from Michie et al, 2005¹

Domains*	Constructs	Interview questions
(1) Knowledge	Knowledge Knowledge about condition/scientific rationale Schemas+mindsets+illness representations Procedural knowledge	Do they know about the guideline? What do they think the guideline says? What do they think the evidence is? Do they know they should be doing x? Do they know why they should be doing x? Do they know how to do x?
(2) Skills (Skills)	Skills Competence/ability/skill assessment Practice/skills development Interpersonal skills Coping strategies	How easy or difficult do they find performing x to the required standard in the required context?
(3) Social/professional role and identity (Self-standards)	Identity Professional identity/boundaries/role Group/social identity Social/group norms Alienation/organisational commitment	What is the purpose of the guidelines? What do they think about the credibility of the source? Do they think guidelines should determine their behaviour? Is doing x compatible or in conflict with professional standards/identity? (prompts: moral/ethical issues, limits to autonomy) Would this be true for all professional groups involved? How difficult or easy is it for them to do x? (prompt re. internal and external capabilities/constraints) What problems have they encountered? What would help them? How confident are they that they can do x despite the difficulties? How capable are they of maintaining x? How well equipped/comfortable do they feel to do x?
(4) Beliefs about capabilities (Self-efficacy)	Self-efficacy Control—of behaviour and material and social environment Perceived competence Self-confidence/professional confidence Empowerment Self-esteem Perceived behavioural control Optimism/pessimism	
(5) Beliefs about consequences (Anticipated outcomes/attitude)	Outcome expectancies Anticipated regret Appraisal/evaluation/review Consequents Attitudes Contingencies Reinforcement/punishment/consequences Incentives/rewards Beliefs Unrealistic optimism Salient events/sensitisation/critical incidents Characteristics of outcome expectancies—physical, social, emotional; Sanctions/rewards, proximal/distal, valued/not valued, probable/improbable, salient/not salient, perceived risk/threat Intention; stability of intention/certainty of intention	What do they think will happen if they do x? (prompt re themselves, patients, colleagues and the organisation; positive and negative, short term and long term consequences) What are the costs of x and what are the costs of the consequences of x? What do they think will happen if they do not do x? (prompts) Do benefits of doing x outweigh the costs? How will they feel if they do/don't do x? (prompts) Does the evidence suggest that doing x is a good thing?
(6) Motivation and goals (Intention)	Goals (autonomous, controlled) Goal target/setting Goal priority Intrinsic motivation Commitment Distal and proximal goals Transtheoretical model and stages of change	How much do they want to do x? How much do they feel they need to do x? Are there other things they want to do or achieve that might interfere with x? Does the guideline conflict with others? Are there incentives to do x?
(7) Memory, attention and decision processes	Memory Attention Attention control Decision making	Is x something they usually do? Will they think to do x? How much attention will they have to pay to do x? Will they remember to do x? How? Might they decide not to do x? Why? (prompt: competing tasks, time constraints)
(8) Environmental context and resources (Environmental constraints)	Resources/material resources (availability and management) Environmental stressors Person × environment interaction Knowledge of task environment	To what extent do physical or resource factors facilitate or hinder x? Are there competing tasks and time constraints? Are the necessary resources available to those expected to undertake x?

* Corresponding constructs from Fishbein et al.² shown in parentheses.

Table 1, continued.

Domains*	Constructs	Interview questions
(9) Social influences (Norms)	Social support Social/group norms Organisational development Leadership Team working Group conformity Organisational climate/culture Social pressure Power/hierarchy Professional boundaries/roles Management commitment Supervision Inter-group conflict Champions Social comparisons Identity; group/social identity Organisational commitment/alienation Feedback Conflict—competing demands, conflicting roles Change management Crew resource management Negotiation Social support: personal/professional/organisational, intra/interpersonal, society/community Social/group norms: subjective, descriptive, injunctive norms Learning and modelling	To what extent do social influences facilitate or hinder <i>x</i> ? (prompts: peers, managers, other professional groups, patients, relatives) Will they observe others doing <i>x</i> (i.e. have role models)?
(10) Emotion (Emotion)	Affect Stress Anticipated regret Fear Burn-out Cognitive overload/tiredness Threat Positive/negative affect Anxiety/depression	Does doing <i>x</i> evoke an emotional response? If so, what? To what extent do emotional factors facilitate or hinder <i>x</i> ? How does emotion affect <i>x</i> ?
(11) Behavioural regulation	Goal/target setting Implementation intention Action planning Self-monitoring Goal priority Generating alternatives Feedback Moderators of intention-behaviour gap Project management Barriers and facilitators	What preparatory steps are needed to do <i>x</i> ? (prompt re individual and organisational) Are there procedures or ways of working that encourage <i>x</i> ?
(12) Nature of the behaviours	Routine/automatic/habit Breaking habit Direct experience/past behaviour Representation of tasks Stages of change model	What is the proposed behaviour (<i>x</i>)? Who needs to do what differently when, where, how, how often and with whom? How do they know whether the behaviour has happened? What do they currently do? Is this a new behaviour or an existing behaviour that needs to become a habit? Can the context be used to prompt the new behaviour? (prompts: layout, reminders, equipment) How long are changes going to take? Are there systems for maintaining long term change?

* Corresponding constructs from Fishbein et al.⁷ shown in parentheses.

1. Michie S, Johnston M, Abraham C, Lawton R, Parker D, Walker A. Making psychological theory useful for implementing evidence based practice: a consensus approach. *Quality and Safety in Health Care*. 2005;14:26-33.
2. Fishbein M, Triandis HC, Kanfer FH, et al. Factors influencing behaviour and behaviour change. In: Baum A, Revenson TA, Singer JE, eds. *Handbook of health psychology*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 2001:3-17.

TABLE 1
Theoretical constructs within the PER Worksheet

<i>PREDISPOSING</i>	<i>ENABLING</i>	<i>REINFORCING</i>
KNOW	BE ABLE TO DO (skills)	REMINDED
Health Belief Model	Social Cognitive Theory	Health Belief Model
Knowledge	Behavioral capability	Cues to act
	Self-regulation	
Social Cognitive Theory	Emotional coping response	Social Cognitive Theory
Skill-related knowledge		Observational learning
Ecological Perspective		Ecological Perspective
Intrapersonal level		Interpersonal and community level
BELIEVE / VALUE	ACCESS TO	POSITIVE REINFORCEMENT
Health Belief Model	Health Belief Model	Social Cognitive Theory
Perceived susceptibility	Actual Benefits	Reinforcements
Perceived severity		
Perceived threat	Social Cognitive Theory	Ecological Perspective
Perceived benefits	Environment	Interpersonal and community level
Perceived barriers	Observational learning	
Theory of Planned Behavior	Ecological Perspective	
Attitude toward the behavior	Community level: institutional	
Subjective norm	factors & public policy	
Perceived behavioral control		
Social Cognitive Theory	ACCESS REMOVED	NEGATIVE REINFORCEMENT
Self-efficacy	Health Belief Model	Social Cognitive Theory
Outcome expectations	Actual barriers	Reinforcements
Outcome expectancies		
Situation	Social Cognitive Theory	Ecological Perspective
	Environment	Interpersonal and community level
	Observational learning	
INTENTION	Ecological Perspective	
Theory of Planned Behavior	Community level: institutional	
Behavioral intention	factors & public policy	
OTHER		SOCIAL SUPPORT
Health Belief Model		Social Cognitive Theory
Modifying factors of age, sex,		Environment
ethnicity, socioeconomic status		
		Ecological Perspective
		Interpersonal and community level
Stage of: Precontemplation &	Stage of: Preparation & Action	Stage of: Maintenance
Contemplation		